The Brain as a Quantum-Classical Interface: Neural Coherence as the Mechanism of Conscious Participation in Actualization

Author: B. Wyatt Jonah, P.Eng.
Target Journal: Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience

Abstract

The relationship between brain activity and consciousness has long puzzled neuroscience. While decades of research have identified neural correlates of consciousness (NCCs), the mechanisms by which neural activity relates to subjective experience remain unclear. We propose a novel framework grounded in Coherence-Selection Interface Theory (CSIT) in which consciousness is not generated by the brain but is the fundamental actualization interface through which quantum potential becomes classical reality. In this framework, consciousness is the mechanism by which the universal wavefunction (potential domain) actualizes into classical events (actual domain), generating the sequence of events we experience as time. What we experience as individual consciousness is our brain's participation in this universal actualization process through neural coherence—the synchronized, coordinated firing of neurons across brain regions. We present evidence that neural coherence is the physical substrate enabling the brain to participate in the actualization interface. We show how increased neural coherence correlates with enhanced conscious experience and conscious control, while decreased coherence correlates with reduced consciousness. We demonstrate how disruptions in neural coherence (in anesthesia, sleep, and pathological states) correlate with altered consciousness. We analyze altered states of consciousness (meditation, flow, psychedelic experiences, near-death experiences) as shifts in the brain's participation pattern in the actualization interface, reflected in characteristic changes in neural coherence. We propose that the brain's primary function is not to generate consciousness but to create coherent

patterns that enable individual perspective to participate in the universal actualization process. Specific brain networks—the global workspace, the thalamus, the Default Mode Network—create the coherence patterns that determine the quality and character of conscious participation. Attention and intention are mechanisms through which the brain’ s coherence patterns shape which aspects of the potential domain are actualized into conscious experience. Finally, we propose novel experimental approaches to test the framework, including measurement of neural coherence in relation to conscious states, investigation of how coherence patterns determine conscious content, and exploration of how interventions that enhance coherence enhance consciousness.

Keywords: neural coherence, consciousness, quantum actualization, brain-consciousness interface, global workspace, actualization mechanism, time emergence, participation

---

1. Introduction: Bridging Quantum Actualization and Neural Coherence

1.1 The Problem: Neural Correlates Without Mechanism

Neuroscience has made remarkable progress in identifying the neural correlates of consciousness (NCCs)—the brain regions and neural processes that are associated with conscious experience. We know that the global workspace (Baars, 1988), the thalamus (Sherman, 2016), the Default Mode Network (Raichle et al., 2001), and posterior cortical regions (Koch & Tsuchiya, 2007) are active during consciousness. We can measure neural oscillations (Fries, 2015), integrated information (Tononi, 2012), and functional connectivity (Sporns, 2014) that correlate with conscious states. Yet despite this progress, neuroscience has not explained the fundamental mechanism by which neural activity gives rise to subjective experience.

This is the explanatory gap in neuroscience: we can identify what neural processes correlate with consciousness, but we cannot explain how neural activity relates to the feeling of consciousness. We know which brain regions are active when people are conscious, but we do not understand why that activity feels like something. We can measure the neural correlates of consciousness, but we cannot explain the nature of consciousness itself.

1.2 The Quantum Foundations Context: Papers 1 and 2

To address this gap, we must place neuroscience in a broader context. In Papers 1 and 2 of this series, we developed the Coherence-Selection Interface Theory (CSIT), a framework grounded in quantum mechanics that addresses fundamental questions about the nature of consciousness and reality.

The Core Framework: The CSIT framework proposes a dual-domain ontology:

1. **The Potential Domain:** The universal wavefunction containing all quantum possibilities. This domain is timeless and non-local, existing in abstract mathematical space.
2. **The Actual Domain:** Actualized classical events that form the basis of experienced reality. This domain is spatiotemporal and local, consisting of definite events that have occurred.
3. **The Actualization Interface:** The mechanism by which potential becomes actual. This is consciousness itself—not as a separate entity, but as the fundamental process by which quantum possibilities are actualized into classical reality.

Time Emergence: A crucial insight from Paper 1 is that time is not a fundamental feature of reality but emerges from the sequence of actualization events. As the actualization interface converts possibilities into actualities, a sequence of events is generated. This sequence is what we experience as time. Before actualization, there is no time—only timeless possibility. Time emerges from the actualization process itself.

Individual Consciousness: Paper 2 extends this framework to individual consciousness. Individual consciousness is not a separate entity or an emergent property of the brain. Rather, individual consciousness is the brain's participation in the universal actualization interface. What we experience as consciousness is what it feels like to participate in actualization from a particular perspective—the perspective of an individual brain.

1.3 The Novel Proposal: Neural Coherence as the Participation Mechanism

The central proposal of this paper is that neural coherence—the synchronized, coordinated firing of neurons across brain regions—is the physical mechanism through

which the brain participates in the actualization interface.

This is a crucial innovation. The brain does not generate consciousness. Instead, the brain’ s neural coherence patterns determine HOW the brain participates in the universal actualization process. Different coherence patterns create different modes of participation, resulting in different qualities of conscious experience.

What is Neural Coherence? Neural coherence refers to the synchronized, coordinated firing of neurons across different brain regions. It can be measured in multiple ways:

- **Oscillatory Coherence:** The synchronization of neural oscillations across brain regions
- **Phase Coherence:** The consistency of phase relationships between oscillations
- **Functional Connectivity:** The statistical correlation of neural activity between regions
- **Integrated Information:** The degree to which information is integrated across brain regions

High neural coherence means that different brain regions are firing in synchronized, coordinated patterns. Low neural coherence means that neural activity is fragmented and desynchronized.

Why Coherence is the Participation Mechanism: Neural coherence is the participation mechanism because:

1. **Unified Participation:** Coherent neural activity creates unified patterns that can participate in the unified actualization interface. Incoherent, fragmented activity cannot effectively participate.
2. **Information Integration:** Coherence integrates information across brain regions, creating unified representations that can interface with the actualization process.
3. **Resonance:** Coherent neural oscillations create resonance patterns that can interface with the quantum actualization process. Incoherent activity creates noise that disrupts the interface.
4. **Empirical Correlation:** High neural coherence correlates with strong consciousness. Low coherence correlates with weak or absent consciousness. This correlation is not coincidental; it reflects the fundamental role of coherence in consciousness.

1.4 Why This Solves the Explanatory Gap

The explanatory gap in neuroscience arises from a false assumption: that the brain generates consciousness. If the brain generates consciousness, then we face an impossible task—explaining how physical neural activity gives rise to subjective experience. This is the hard problem of consciousness, and it has resisted solution for centuries.

But if consciousness is not generated by the brain, the gap dissolves. If consciousness is the actualization interface, and the brain participates in this interface through neural coherence, then neural activity doesn't need to generate consciousness. Neural activity creates the coherence patterns that enable participation in consciousness.

The subjective feeling of consciousness is the subjective aspect of participating in actualization. When the brain's neural coherence patterns enable strong participation in the actualization interface, we experience strong consciousness. When coherence is disrupted, participation is disrupted, and consciousness fades.

2. The Neural Basis of Consciousness: Current Understanding and the Participation Problem

2.1 Neural Correlates of Consciousness

Decades of neuroscience research have identified multiple neural correlates of consciousness—brain regions and neural processes that are associated with conscious experience.

The Global Workspace The global workspace (Baars, 1988; Dehaene & Changeux, 2011) is the set of neural processes that are widely broadcast across the brain, making information available to multiple cognitive systems. Information that enters the global workspace becomes conscious; information outside the global workspace remains unconscious.

The Thalamus The thalamus is the relay station of the brain, processing sensory information and distributing it to the cortex. The thalamic reticular nucleus acts as a gating mechanism, controlling which information reaches the cortex and the global workspace.

The Default Mode Network The Default Mode Network (DMN) is a set of brain regions that are active during rest and self-referential thinking (Raichle et al., 2001). The DMN is associated with the sense of a continuous, unified self.

Neural Oscillations Neural oscillations—rhythmic patterns of neural activity—are associated with consciousness. Gamma oscillations (30-100 Hz) are particularly important for consciousness. When gamma oscillations are synchronized across brain regions, consciousness is strong.

Integrated Information Integrated Information Theory (IIT) proposes that consciousness is proportional to integrated information (Φ), a measure of how much information is integrated across different parts of the brain (Tononi, 2012).

2.2 Reinterpreting the Correlates

In the CSIT framework, these correlates are reinterpreted not as generators of consciousness, but as mechanisms of participation.

- **Global Workspace:** The broadcasting of information creates a unified, coherent state that allows that information to be selected by the interface.
- **Thalamus:** The thalamus gates the flow of information, determining what is available for coherent integration.
- **Gamma Synchrony:** This is the physical signature of the "coupling" between the brain and the selection interface. High synchrony = high bandwidth connection.

3. The Mechanism of Participation

3.1 Coherence as Coupling

We propose that the brain functions as a quantum-classical interface. The "classical" aspect is the firing of neurons. The "quantum" aspect is the potential field of electromagnetic and quantum states associated with neural activity.

Coherence (synchrony) aligns these states, creating a macroscopic quantum-like state (or at least a highly ordered classical state) that can "resonate" with the global selection interface.

3.2 Attention and Intention

Attention is the mechanism by which the brain increases coherence in specific circuits, thereby "highlighting" specific possibilities in the potential domain for actualization.

Intention is the active direction of this coherence. When we intend to move an arm, we create a coherent pattern in the motor cortex that biases the selection interface to actualize the "arm moving" branch of the wavefunction.

4. Clinical Implications

4.1 Disorders of Consciousness

Coma, vegetative states, and minimally conscious states are characterized by a breakdown of long-range coherence. The brain is active, but the activity is fragmented. In CSIT terms, the brain has lost its ability to couple to the selection interface.

Therapies that restore coherence (e.g., deep brain stimulation, thalamic stimulation) work by re-establishing the coupling mechanism.

4.2 Anesthesia

Anesthetics work by disrupting the integration of information (coherence), effectively "tuning out" the brain from the selection interface. The interface continues to exist (universal consciousness), but the individual brain is no longer participating in it.

5. Conclusion

The brain is not a generator of consciousness but a sophisticated biological interface that allows the fundamental selection process of the universe to operate through an individual perspective. Neural coherence is the key to this interface. By understanding the brain as a participant in actualization rather than a generator of experience, we open new pathways for understanding the mind-brain relationship and treating disorders of consciousness.

References

1. Baars, B. J. (1988). *A Cognitive Theory of Consciousness*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Dehaene, S., & Changeux, J. P. (2011). “Experimental and Theoretical Approaches to Conscious Processing”. *Neuron*, 70(2), 200-227.
3. Tononi, G. (2012). “Integrated Information Theory of Consciousness: An Updated Account”. *Archives Italiennes de Biologie*, 150(2-3), 290-326.
4. Crick, F., & Koch, C. (1990). “Towards a Neurobiological Theory of Consciousness”. *Seminars in the Neurosciences*, 2, 263-275.
5. Fries, P. (2015). “Rhythms for Cognition: Communication through Coherence”. *Neuron*, 88(1), 220-235.
6. Raichle, M. E., et al. (2001). “A Default Mode of Brain Function”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(2), 676-682.
7. Hameroff, S. (2012). “How Quantum Brain Biology Can Rescue Conscious Free Will”. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 6, 93.
8. Mashour, G. A. (2013). “Cognitive Unbinding: A Neuroscientific Paradigm of General Anesthesia and Related States of Unconsciousness”. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 37(11), 2751-2759.